

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18825 of 2019

Date of Decision: July 12, 2019

Surinder Kumar Wadhwa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. V.K.Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to release the amount of leave encashment along with interest @ 8% per annum.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that though representations have been made claiming leave encashment as per the rules, but the reference of the same has not been made. Though the petitioner has been convicted but cannot be denied the leave encashment as per Rules. He further submits that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied in case liberty is granted to him to file a fresh representation and decide within some reasonable time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation within a period of one week from today. In case he does

so, the same shall be decided by the respondents within a period of three months thereafter.

It is made clear that in case the decision is not taken within the time frame, there shall be costs of ₹ 50,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

July 12, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No