

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18827 of 2019

Date of Decision: July 15, 2019

Sonia Gupta

.....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Shehari Vikas Pradhikaran and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioner

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had purchased the residential plot from the original allottees namely Raj Kumari and Bhushan and thereafter re-allotment was done in her name. He further submits that petitioner had paid all the balance instalments well in time as per time schedule but physical possession of the plot was not handed over to her. He submits that petitioner had made a request for allotment of alternative plot either in the same Sector or in adjoining Sector in lieu of plot already allotted to her. In this regard, she has made a detailed representation which is annexed as Annexure P-7 with the present petition but no action has been taken thereupon.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to take action on the representation.

Accordingly, keeping in view the limited prayer and without

commenting on the merits of the case, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the

present petition with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the pending representation (Annexure P-7) and take action thereon in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

July 15, 2019
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No