

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19099 of 2019
Date of decision:17.07.2019**

Mangal Ram

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Case of petitioner is that he has been working as Mali (Gardener) on contract basis from 28.09.2011 and after rendering the service of about 07 years, his services were dispensed with/replaced with new regular employee/Mali, who did not have the knowledge of Horticulture.

I am afraid the aforementioned argument is not sustainable, for, as per the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Hargurpartap Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others 2007(13) SCC 292** wherein grievance of the similar situated persons only redressed till the regular incumbents are appointed. The action of respondents, in such circumstances, cannot be said to be arbitrary or against the settled law.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 17, 2019

savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No