

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

114

CRM-M-29222 of 2019

Date of decision:12.7.2019

Sanju

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Nitin Verma, Advocate, for
Mr.Alisha Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Though a request for an adjournment has been on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, however it is seen that the second clause of the summarised prayer at the beginning of the petition reads to say that appropriate action be taken against 'private respondent no.5', whereas there is no 'private respondent' at all in the petition, with respondent no.5 being the Women Police Station, Kaithal.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition with better particulars, provided it is filed within 15 days.

12.7.2019

pk

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No