

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.12721 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION : 12th MARCH, 2019

Sikander Singh & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

* * * *

Present : Mr. Nitish Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. H. S. Sullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

It is not in dispute that the grievance made by the petitioners in this writ petition has not been adjudicated by the competent authority, despite the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of *Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee versus State of Punjab and others, 2013(3) RCR (Civil) 1023 (DB)*.

In our opinion, though the election process of the Gram Panchayat has been completed, the issue/grievance of the petitioners can still be adjudicated by the appropriate authority. We think it would be appropriate for the authority to decide the grievances by passing a speaking order after granting opportunity of hearing.

In that view of the matter, we direct respondent No.3 to hear this matter and pass speaking order on representation (Annexure P-6). Needless to say that the contesting party in the present petition would also be heard by respondent No.3 within a period of six months from today.

Copy of this order shall be placed before respondent No.3 by the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

12th MARCH, 2019
‘raj’

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>