

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29100-2019
Date of decision-13.11.2019**

Ravinder

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. J.S.Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.352 dated 13.11.2016 under Sections 379 and 365 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Chandhut, District Palwal. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 11.07.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is based upon hearsay information which does not give any particulars regarding the alleged occurrence of abduction of six persons who were travelling in the vehicle owned by the complainant. Further reliance is also placed upon orders dated 18.07.2018 and

26.04.2019 (Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively) whereby co-accused have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion for 13.11.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by SI Braham Pal does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from SI Braham Pal further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.07.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

13.11.2019

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No