

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18540-2019

Date of decision: 2.8.2019

Dropadi Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondents to consider and finalize the case of the petitioner regarding freehold of shop No.666, NH-3G, Park Market NIT, Faridabad and execution of sale deed in favour of the petitioner.

Perused.

As per the averments made in the petition, the case of the petitioner was sent by respondent No.2 Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad to respondent No.1 on 8.7.2016 vide office Memo No. MCF/ZTO-II/2016/2889, for taking necessary decision in the matter. However, no decision thereupon so far has been taken.

In the circumstances, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1

Principal Secretary, Govt of Haryana, Department of Local Bodies, Haryana- to take a final view on the reference sent by respondent No.2 vide office Memo No. MCF/ZTO-II/2016/2889 dated 8.7.2016, after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

2.8.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No