

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.28950 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2019**

Ravinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.149 dated 19.06.2019, under Sections 420, 406, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*for short 'IPC'*), registered at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 10.07.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is ready to refund the 50% of the alleged amount i.e. Rs. 3,20,000/- within a period of two months from today.

Let an amount of Rs. 3,20,000/- be paid to the complainant by way of a demand draft, which shall be subject to the final outcome of the case.

Notice of motion for 17.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It has been pointed out by learned Counsel for the petitioner that matter has been amicably settled.

Complainant is also present in the Court and he does not dispute the factum of compromise, arrived at between the parties.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from HC Raghubir Singh, has also acknowledged that parties have compromised the matter amicably.

In view of above, interim order dated 10.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

Disposed off accordingly.

November 28, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No