

Gurnam Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Lala Ram Saran Dass Dharamarth Trust, Gurdaspur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and filed vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

The present revision petition is filed against the order dated 01.07.2019 passed by the Rent Controller, Gurdaspur, vide which, the application filed by the petitioner/tenant for assessment of rent and to deposit the same alongwith interest & cost, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while praying for allowing the present revision petition, submitted that duty was cast upon the Rent Controller to assess the rent and give time to the petitioner to pay the said rent and therefore, his application could not have been dismissed on the ground of delay as neither the rent was assessed nor the time was given to deposit the said rent.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that he has instructions from the respondent to say that the respondent has no objection in case the Rent Controller is directed to assess the rent and time is given to the petitioner to make the said payment, subject to the petitioner/tenant not

causing any further delay in final disposal of the rent petition.

In view of the above agreed position, the present revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned Rent Controller to assess the rent within two weeks from the date already fixed before it, thereafter, the petitioner shall be granted another two weeks by giving an actual date, by which date, the petitioner shall deposit the said rent and thereafter, a date shall be fixed for final arguments and the rent petition shall be decided within two months thereafter.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

06.09.2019
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No