

CWP-18786-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18786-2015

Date of Decision: April 11, 2019

Vijay Kumar Jain

... Petitioner

vs.

Hindustan Petroleum Ltd & Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ARUN MONGA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite various representations made by the petitioner to respondents No. 1 to 3 (Oil Corporation), no decision has been taken with regard to the misrepresentation of the ownership of the land on which the retail outlet has been set-up. He further submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, a civil suit filed by the petitioner claiming himself to be the owner of the land has been decreed in his favour.

Per contra, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 submits that subsequent developments qua the decree of the suit in respect of the title of the land on which the retail outlet has been set-up is not to the knowledge of the respondents. He, however, further submits that in case the petitioner approaches the respondents with a proof of ownership of the land, appropriate orders would be passed in due course of time.

CWP-18786-2015

In the premise, the present writ petition is disposed of and liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the respondents with requisite proof of the title of the land being in his favour and the respondents are directed thereafter to take a decision on the outlet set-up on the land claimed by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

Respondents are directed to take a decision within 06 months of the petitioner approaches with the requisite information.

Disposed of in above terms.

April 11, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No