

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29257-2019

Date of decision-14.11.2019

Sayed Mohammad Nadeem

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.58 dated 22.12.2018 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Nakodar, Jalandhar Rural. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 12.07.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged loan was taken in the name of Gurpreet Singh on the strength of fake documents. According to him, the concession of anticipatory bail was granted by this Court on 05.04.2019 to Gurpreet Singh on the ground that in fact no amount was credited in his (Gurpreet Singh) account and someone else opened a new account in the

name of Gurpreet Singh at Nakodar. He further submits that the petitioner was also misled by the accused.

Notice of motion for 14.11.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Jeet Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Jeet Singh further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 12.07.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

14.11.2019

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No