

S.No.113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29552 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:16.07.2019

Anil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to produce the petitioner namely Anil Kumar son of Shanti Parkash, who is presently confined in District Jail, Jagadhri in FIR No.256 dated 08.09.1999 registered under Sections 379, 454, 451, 403, 419, 429, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar to argue/reply queries of this Court in suspension of sentence bearing CRM No.19447 of 2018 in CRR No.1834 of 2018 on the next date of hearing before this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed CRR No.1834 of 2018 challenging his conviction and sentence under Sections 406/467/468/471/120-B/419 IPC. However, now the petitioner want to argue his case himself. But since the petitioner is in custody, therefore, he can not come to Court unless this Court issues a direction to the authorities to this effect. It is further submitted that the above said revision petition filed by the petitioner is fixed for 25.07.2019. Hence, the authorities be directed to bring the petitioner to the Court on the said date, so as to enable the petitioner to argue his case.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State. He has no objection if the petitioner is granted permission to argue his case and if it is directed that the petitioner be produced before the Court; on the next date of hearing fixed in the above said criminal revision.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendence of Police, Yamuna Nagar to produce the petitioner before this Court on 25.07.2019 at 2:00 P.M; in Police custody. The Superintendent of District Jail, Yamuna Nagar is also directed to take necessary steps to ensure that the petitioner is brought to the Court to argue his case.

However, it is clarified that this order is only for one date. The petitioner would not be granted any adjournment in the abovesaid criminal revision petition. It would be for the petitioner himself to arrange all the necessary documents qua the case and prepare his arguments well in advance; so that he can address and conclude his arguments in the said criminal revision petition on the next date of hearing.

July 16, 2019
renu

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No