

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29101-2019
Date of decision-13.11.2019**

Lucky Deora @ Raman Deora

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.173 dated 14.08.2018 under Sections 452, 323, 380, 427, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Ferozepur, District Ferozepur. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 11.07.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the FIR it was Harsimran Singh who had the grievance with the complainant as his salary was withheld. It is pointed out that the petitioner was accompanying Harsimarn Singh when they both allegedly had entered the office of the complainant. He submits that Harsimran

*Singh has been exonerated by the Police.
Notice of motion for 13.11.2019.
Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Roop Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Roop Singh further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.07.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

13.11.2019			
vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No