

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-30429-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 5.11.2019

Tarsem Singh and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Today at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that she may be permitted to withdraw the petitioner qua petitioner No.1-Tarsem Singh, as he has been arrested.
2. In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition qua petitioner No.1 is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. Petitioner No.2/Sarwan Singh has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 62, dated 8.4.2019, registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala, under Sections 304-B, 120-B IPC.

4. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Fouji Ram wherein it has been alleged that two of his daughters namely Ram Rakhi and Manju were married to Bheem Singh and Tarsem Singh who are both brothers on 24.2.2014. It is alleged that his daughters were however, harassed by their in-laws for having brought less dowry. It is alleged that on 7.4.2019, he received a telephone call from Bheem Singh who informed him that his daughter Ram Rakhi has fallen ill. The complainant along with his son immediately went to the matrimonial home of his daughter where they saw dead body of Ram Rakhi was placed on a cot and the dead body was found to bear injury marks.
5. Learned counsel for petitioner No.2 has submitted that a false case has been lodged against him, who in any case happens to be brother-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that vague allegations regarding harassment or demand of dowry are there in the FIR and the same has been lodged simply in order to settle scores on account of death of complainant's daughter.
6. Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that it is a case where both the sisters have been harassed by their in-laws and that the medical evidence clearly shows that it was an unnatural death of Ram Rakhi inasmuch as 9 injuries were found on the dead body of the deceased.
7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that it is a case where two sisters were married to two brothers and that allegations are clearly to the effect that both the sisters were being harassed by their in-laws and one was found to be dead and

bore injuries on her dead body, no special case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out to petitioner Sarwan Singh who is brother of Bheem Singh and Tarsem Singh and apparently living together in the same house.

8. Finding no merit in the petition, the same is dismissed.

November 5, 2019

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No