

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28973-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.07.2019

Jeet Masih @ Bikram Masih @ Ranjit Masih @ Vikram Masih ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K. Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion having been issued, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh, submits that the petitioner has never joined investigation even once and in fact has remained at large even prior to the report under Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. having been submitted to the competent court qua other co-accused.

Looking at the fact that the FIR is dated 21.08.2014 and even 5 years later the petitioner has never attempted to join investigation, even though he is stated to be a truck driver as per the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is not possible to believe that for 5 years he did not visit his home.

Consequently I see no ground to entertain petition seeking anticipatory bail to a person who has been declared to be a proclaimed offender/person.

However, upon the petitioner surrendering before the trial court and filing any application under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., that would naturally be considered on its own merits, expeditiously.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

18.07.2019
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No