

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12318 of 2018

Date of decision: 12.07.2019

Rajni Bala

.... Petitioner

versus

S.D. Phularwan Girls Senior Secondary School and another

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ishan Thakur, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that she is entitled for grant of gratuity and the claim of the petitioner is against the respondent i.e. privately managed aided institution.

Counsel for the respondents at the outset raises an objection with regard to the maintainability of the present writ petition on the ground that the petitioner has to approach the appropriate authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972. Counsel for the respondents states that the petitioner worked for 20 years and is entitled for gratuity but she has to approach the controlling authority, who can pass an appropriate order in respect of the claim of the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the controlling authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 for redressal of

his grievance for the grant of gratuity.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

12.07.2019	(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
<i>aarti</i>	JUDGE
1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No