

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18884 of 2019

Date of Decision:16.07.2019

Neelam Goyal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petition seeks a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect her life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.6 to 9.

Counsel would submit that husband of the petitioner had certain financial dealings with the private respondents. Certain amount of money was due from the husband and the same has since been returned to the private respondents but inspite thereof threats have been issued to the petitioner to produce her husband. It is contended that petitioner is not aware of the whereabouts of her husband and under such circumstances she has been constrained to file the instant petition.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length

Pleadings on record are not sufficient for this Court to infer any realistic threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

This Court is not inclined to conduct a roving enquiry into the matter.

No intervention is called for.

Petition dismissed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No