

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

120

CRM-21836 of 2019 and
CRM-M-29364 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:22.7.2019

Subhash Rawal

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-21836 of 2019

Pursuant to the order dated 12.7.2019, this application has been filed seeking to place on record copies of a sale deed dated 19.10.2016, showing sale of the disputed property by the petitioner to respondent no.7 and others (respondent no.7 also named as Subhash Chander); and a supplementary sale deed dated 21.8.2017, from which he points out that it was very clearly stated that in the sale deed dated 29.10.2016 it had been inadvertently written that roof rights of the property sold would be also transferred to respondent no.7, i.e. the vendee.

He also seeks to place on record a sale deed dated 29.11.2016, by which respondent no.7 is stated to have sold the suit property to respondent no.8.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and the copies of the aforesaid documents are ordered to be taken on record

collectively as Annexure P-3 with the accompanying petition.

CRM-M-29364 of 2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner having pointed out to the aforesaid effect and the sale deed dated 29.11.2016 executed by respondent no.7 in favour of respondent no.8 very clearly stating that the roof rights were not being sold by him, with learned counsel specifically stating that though there is no specific number of the flat given in either of the two sale deeds but the description being identical to show that it is same very property, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the DCP, District Gurugram (West), i.e. respondent no.3, to look into the matter after hearing the petitioner, and going through the representation made by him to the Director General of Police, Haryana, on 8.7.2019 (copy Annexure P-2), and to thereafter take a decision as per law, duly looking into what this Court has observed herein above.

The grievance of the petitioner would be addressed by the DCP within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

22.7.2019
pk/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No