

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

Crl. Misc. No.M-29004 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.11.2019

Amandeep Kaur @ Kalo

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Satnam Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

An affidavit of Sh. Mandeep Singh Sidhu, Sr. Superintendent of Police, Patiala, having been filed on the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner points to paragraph 4 thereof to submit that even the SSP has found that neither SI Surinder Kumar, nor SI Gurpreet Singh mentioned the name of the private vehicle used by them (for patrolling) in the DDR, nor did they get any permission to use such private vehicle. Therefore, they committed a violation of the directions issued by the Punjab Govt., Department of Home Affairs and Justice, as also the directions issued by the DGP, Punjab and the directions issued by this Court vide orders passed in CRM-M-30597 of 2003 and CRM-M-4494-1997.

That being so, with the petitioner also having been in custody for the past 9 months, with the trial still nowhere near conclusion, I

consider it appropriate to admit her to bail.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

19.11.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No