

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(121)

CWP-18467-2019

Date of Decision: July 10, 2019

Dalwinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is against the impugned order (Annexure P-1) which was passed by the Managing Director of respondent-Corporation on 29.07.2013. Petitioner had preferred an appeal (Annexure P-2) to the appellate authority on 28.08.2013. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the said appeal is pending consideration with the respondents and has not been decided despite the fact that approximately six years have elapsed and the pendency of the said appeal is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the appeal dated 28.08.2013 (Annexure P-2) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the appeal dated 28.08.2013 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the appeal dated 28.08.2013 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 10, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No