

(220) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17381 of 2016

Date of decision: 07.11.2019

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Sole argument of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the impugned order, Annexure R-II is a cryptic order and does not contain the reasoning for having rejected the claim of the petitioner.

[2] Faced with the aforementioned situation, learned Sr. DAG states that the writ petition can be disposed of by granting liberty to respondents to pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

[3] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position as noted above, the impugned order, Annexure R-II is set aside. Fresh order be passed in accordance with the rules and regulations and instructions applicable after complying with the principles of natural justice as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of certified copy of the order.

07.11.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.