

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

CRM-M-29485 of 2019

Date of decision : 15.07.2019

Ashok Goyal

... Petitioner

Versus

CBI, Chandigarh

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 04.06.2019 passed by the Special Judge, CBI Court, Chandigarh to the extent of the condition imposed therein that he shall execute personal bond in the sum of ₹ 15 lacs in the shape of undertaking supported by Bank Guarantee or FDR or of the same value before leaving the country.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the condition of personal bond of ₹ 15 lacs is on the higher side and it may be reduced to the amount of ₹ 1 lac which was the amount imposed for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

Heard.

The petitioner is facing trial under various Sections of the Prevention of Corruption Act. The allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner was caught red handed while accepting a sum of ₹ 5 lacs on behalf of the Inspector General of Police as part payment for the alleged bribe amount of ₹50 lacs to exonerate another police official whose conduct was being enquired by

the Inspector General of Police. It is stated in the application that the petitioner is permanently settled at Ludhiana and is having his business and valuable properties. In the order of the trial Court, it is mentioned that the petitioner owns a business premise and a house on an area of 500 sq. yards in Ludhiana. He is also an income tax payee for several years. The purpose of his visit to the United States of America is partly for business and to meet his son. He also intends to go to Sri Lanka as he is importing coconut oil from that country. Therefore, in view of the financial status of the petitioner and the aforementioned allegations against him, I do not find any good ground to interfere in the order of the trial Court imposing a condition of personal bond of ₹ 15 lacs, while exercising revisional jurisdiction.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 15, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No