

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28819-2019

Date of Decision: 01.11.2019

Mandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Apanjyot Singh Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

After the petitioner had been admitted to interim bail, vide an order dated 10.07.2019, on 17.10.2019 the following order had been passed:-

On 05.09.2019, the following order had been passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to address arguments in terms of the observations of this Court dated 27.08.2019, with him submitting to the effect that the petitioner is running a very small tyre repair shop and any tyre recovery would only be in the form of rubber scrap, and therefore no sale invoice is required and consequently no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

Adjourned to 17.10.2019.”

Since it is 4.20 p.m., none is present for the petitioner.

*Adjourned to 01.11.2019, with the order
dated 05.09.2019 repeated.*

Interim order to continue till then.”

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to the order dated 10.07.2019 and presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, the interim order dated 10.07.2019 passed by this Court is made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

November 01, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.