

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2405 of 2019
Date of decision: 21.8.2019

Dr. Harnek Singh

.. Petitioner

v.

Indu Malhotra and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nitin Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 1.6.2018 passed in CWP No. 15053 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated February 24, 2018 (Annexure P-10) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Navjeet Singh (LA) DPI states that in principle, the respondents have agreed to accept the claim of the petitioner as raised in the legal notice. However, for compliance resolution would be required from the college concerned. She further states that needful will be done within eight weeks from today.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous, however, in case of failure to do the needful, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition and in that case, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

21.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No