

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2396 of 2019
Date of decision: 21.8.2019

Kewal Singh and others

.. Petitioners

v.

Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu and another

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. D. K. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 24.1.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22360 of 2017. The petition was disposed of in terms of the order passed in CWP No. 19405 of 2015, decided on 28.4.2016. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Accordingly, these petitions are allowed and the respondents are directed to pay interest @ 9% in CWPs 13405, 15324 and 15974 of 2015 while the respondents would calculate the delay in the remaining cases and award 9% interest on the period of delay on the amounts released and on the amounts yet to be released as they are still withheld. However, the interest would run with effect from three months after the date of superannuation in each of the cases till payment. The order be complied with within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Short reply dated 21.8.2019 by way of affidavit of Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu, Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala filed in Court is taken on record. Copy thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners.

In the affidavit, it has been stated that entire payment has been made to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to dispute the fact in absence of any instructions.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous, however, the petitioners would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition if there is any discrepancy in the statement made today. In case of revival of the contempt petition, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

21.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No