

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18817 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.09.2019**

Asma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for Indian Oil Corporation.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

On a previous date of hearing i.e.19.08.2019 the following order
was passed by this Court:-

*As per pleadings on record, petitioner had applied for
award of retail outlet dealership at a specific location i.e. KM
Stone 75 to 78 (LHS) on SH-13 (New NH 248 A) Gurgaon Alwar
Road, District Nuh under the open category.*

*Instant petition seeks quashing of a communication
dated 03.06.2019 (Annexure P-6), whereby the petitioner has
been considered ineligible by the Land Evaluation Committee
for award of a retail outlet dealership in question under
category I and she has been informed that she may be
considered for selection along with other group III applicants.*

*On a previous date of hearing, a complete copy of the
writ petition had been handed over to Mr. Ashish Kapoor,
Advocate representing the respondent/Indian Oil Corporation*

Limited so as to enable him to complete instructions.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate during the course of hearing today has apprised the Court that the petitioner has been considered ineligible in Group I pursuant to an inspection conducted by the Land Evaluation Committee and as per which the land offered by the petitioner falls within KM Stone 79 to KM Stone 80 and which is not as per the advertised location.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks time to place on record relevant material/documents which would be construed as conclusive evidence that the land/site offered by the petitioner to be falls within the advertised location.

List on 10.09.2019.

During the course of resumed hearing today, counsel for the petitioner rather than seeking to place on record the relevant material/documents as per observation contained in the order dated 19.08.2019 seeks withdrawal of the writ petition on the pretext that certain inadvertent errors have crept into the pleadings and as such seeks liberty to file a petition afresh with better particulars.

Prayer is allowed.

Writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

September 10, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No