

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4454-2011

Date of Decision: July 16, 2019

Anand Moudgil

...Petitioner

Versus

Chairman, State Transport Authority, Haryana

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

**Present: Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, states that when the application for grant of route permit was made by the petitioner, no statutory Scheme under Chapter 6 of the Motor Vehicles Act was in operation. However, subsequently statutory Scheme of 2011 came into force w.e.f. 03.05.2011.

In view of the aforesaid factual position, we find that this petition is rendered infructuous and accordingly stands dismissed as such.

Interim order, if any, stands discharged.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2019

Pk Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO