

208-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28516 of 2019

Date of decision: October 01, 2019

Bhure Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.184 dated 20.04.2019, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sector 58, Faridabad.

On 08.07.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that in CRM-M-21837-2019, filed by co-accused, namely, Shivam, this Court has already granted the interim protection, which is pending for 19.07.2019.

Notice of motion for 19.07.2019.

To be heard along with CRM-M-21837-2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his

furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narender Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 08.07.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 08.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 01, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No