

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.6774-CWP of 2019 &
CM No.6780 of 2019 in/and
CWP No.6607 of 2010**

Date of Decision : 16.05.2019

HOSHIAR SINGH AND OTHERS.PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER.RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

CM No.6774-CWP of 2019

Application for permission to place on record speaking order passed by the respondents in a connected writ petition is **allowed**. Order (Annexure A-1) is taken on record.

CM No.6780 of 2019

Notice of the application to the counsel for the non-applicants/ respondents.

Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants/respondents and states that he has no objection to the preponement of the date of hearing to today.

In view of the statement of learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, the application is **allowed**. The main case is taken on board.

CWP No.6607 of 2010

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of directions to the respondents to grant the pay scale of ₹1400 – 2600 to the petitioners w.e.f. 01.01.1986 along with all consequential benefits.

[2] Learned counsel contends that identically situated employees in CWP No.2650 of 2000 had filed an application praying for directions to the respondents to consider and decide their representation for grant of relief as has been claimed by the petitioners in the instant petition and that pursuant to directions issued by Hon'ble the High Court, the respondents took a decision, granting the relief prayed for vide order, Annexure A-1.

[3] Learned counsel contends that in the circumstances, the petitioners be granted liberty to make a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2, who be directed to decide the same within a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

[4] Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, states that he has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] In the light of the position as noted above, as well as the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation if made by the petitioners by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

May 16, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No