

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.18275 OF 2014
DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 23, 2019**

Baldev Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Industrial Tribunal, Patiala and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi K. Mattoo, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Behl, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing i.e. 20.08.2019, when the case was
taken up for hearing, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced an
order dated 28.08.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.3963
of 2014, titled as Shiv Dayal Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Patiala
and another, which according to him relates to a similar
employee, where this Court has, in similar circumstances,
enhanced the compensation amount from Rs.20,000/- to
Rs.75,000/-.*

*Since counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 is not present,
his proxy counsel has been supplied the copy of the said order.*

Let Mr. Behl seek instructions in this regard within four weeks.

List on 23.09.2019.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 is unable to make a statement with regard to abovesaid order. However, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, including the assertion of counsel for the petitioner that the case of the petitioner is similarly placed as that of Shiv Dayal in Civil Writ Petition No.3963 of 2014 (Shiv Dayal Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Patiala and another), on perusal of the same, this court is satisfied that the present writ petition deserves to be disposed of in the same terms as the facts and circumstances are almost identical. The present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as Civil Writ Petition No.3963 of 2014 (Shiv Dayal Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Patiala and another).

As a consequence thereof, award dated 22.11.2013 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Industrial Tribunal, Patiala, is modified to the extent that the petitioner would be entitled to compensation of ₹75,000/- instead of ₹20,000/-. The enhanced amount be released to the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today.

Copy of the order be given *dasti* to counsel for the parties under signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court for information and compliance.

**September 23, 2019
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No