

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12945-2017

Date of Decision: 22.01.2019

Thakur Dass

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab & Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. P.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J

Learned counsel for the respondent(s) states that all the payments for which the petitioner was entitled for after his retirement have already been released in his favour and no further payment is liable to be made to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner retired from service 30.09.2016 and the payments have been made after much delay and that too without any justification. He further states that the petitioner is entitled for interest on the delayed payment in view of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in A.S.Randhwa vs. State of Punjab reported as 1997 (3) S.C.T. 468.

Learned counsel for the respondent(s) states that in case the petitioner files a representation claiming the said relief, the same will be considered in the light of the said judgment and an appropriate decision will be passed and in case it is found that the petitioner is entitled for interest, the same shall also be released to him.

CWP-12945-2017

-2-

Keeping in view the above, let a representation giving the dates on which the payments were made to the petitioner as showing the inordinate delay be filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today with the respondent(s) and in case, any such representation is received, the same will be decided by the respondent(s) within a period of two months thereafter keeping in view the law laid by the Full Bench of this Court in A.S.Randhwa's case (supra).

22.01.2019
smriti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No