

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16506 of 2016

Date of Decision : 09.05.2019.

Pawan Kumar.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another.

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Sr. DAG states that on the request of the petitioner to promote him as Driver and post him in District Hisar on account of his old parents residing there, orders in terms of the petitioners prayers were passed by the Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department. Copy of the representation dated 29.08.2018 as also orders dated 19.12.2018, passed by the Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Haryana, Panchkula, on the representation, promoting the petitioner to the post of Driver and posting him in the O/o the Sub Divisional Agriculture Officer, Hisar have been produced by learned Sr. DAG. The same are taken on record. Copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned Sr. DAG states that in the circumstances the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the representation as well as orders passed on the same, he does not press the writ petition and the same be disposed of while granting liberty to the petitioner to pursue the matter with the authorities in case any cause of action survives with regard to the relief claimed in the writ petition.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

09.05.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No