

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

Civil Writ Petition No.11209 of 2018

Date of Decision: December 12th, 2019

Nazar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. J.K. Khetarpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the information as sought by the petitioner has been duly supplied. He, however, states that vide order dated 01.06.2017 (Annexure P-8), barring of any information with regard to a particular person, he has no grievance against but barring the petitioner's applications/complaints/appeal with regard to any information with reference to Village Gobindgarh in District Ludhiana, is not sustainable.

Having considered the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner and on perusal of the order dated 01.06.2017 (Annexure P-8), the order vis-a-vis a person, referred to in para 2 of the order shall sustain but there cannot be a blanket bar qua a person for seeking information about other subjects/persons. The barring of information under the Right to Information Act with reference to Village Gobindgarh in District Ludhiana, is unsustainable. However, the competent authority shall see to it that there is no misuse of the provisions of the Right to Information Act by the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of with above observations.

December 12th, 2019

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No