

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18667-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:12.07.2019**

M/s Aggarwal Construction Company ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is stated to be a Construction Company engaged in the road construction and other allied construction works.

The short grievance raised in the instant petition is that inspite of the various works allotted to the petitioner/Company by the Improvement Trust, Jalandhar, the requisite payments have not been released.

The details of the work orders issued to the petitioner/Company have been appended as Annexure P-2 (colly).

The details of the amounts outstanding as per the petitioner/Company are contained in Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-6 appended along with the petition.

Counsel argues that action of the respondent/authorities is unjust and unfair.

At this stage, counsel submits that even a legal notice dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-8) has been served and he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition was to be disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to look into the matter.

Prayer made by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

As such, without ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without even going into the authenticity of the Annexures/documents appended with the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.4 and 5 to examine the claim of the petitioner/Company against the backdrop of a legal notice dated 16.05.2019 (Annexure P-8) and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Court.

Needless to observe that in case any outstanding amounts/funds are found due, the necessary funds would be released to the petitioner/Company forthwith.

It is further clarified that this Court has not examined the claim of the petitioner/Company on merits.

Disposed of.

12.07.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |