

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16355 of 2016

Date of decision: 02.09.2019

D.N. Gupta

.... Petitioner

versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rohiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the benefit, as being sought in the present writ petition, though was initially declined by the respondents vide impugned order dated 08.06.2016 (Annexure P/15) but upon reconsideration the same was allowed and the petitioner has been granted the promotion and other benefits equivalent to his junior Maha Singh.

The grievance of the petitioner, which still survives, is that the arrears have been restricted to three years and two months prior to the filing of present writ petition whereas prior to this writ petition, in the year 2015, the petitioner had filed CWP No.17600 of 2015 which was disposed of by this Court on 18.01.2016 and in pursuance of which, impugned order was passed. Counsel for the petitioner prays that liberty be granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation with the respondents for claiming the said benefits. Counsel for the respondents very fairly states that representation, if

any, is filed by the petitioner, the same will be considered by the respondents in accordance with law by passing an appropriate order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

02.09.2019
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |