

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18084 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 08.07.2019**

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter-alia*, contends that vide enquiry dated 27.02.2012, even though no direct fault or involvement of the petitioner was found but yet on the grounds of negligence in performing the duties, a sum of ₹1,07,320/- has been imposed upon him as penalty vide punishment order dated 15.10.2018 (Annexure P-2)

2. Learned counsel further submits that appeal against the said punishment order is still pending before the Appellate Authority wherein an application seeking stay in the recovery proceedings has already been filed.

3. In the premise, the writ petition is dismissed being pre-mature. However, the petitioner is at liberty to pursue his remedy before the Appellate Authority. It would be appreciated if the stay application is considered by the Appellate Authority

expediently, by passing a speaking order.

- 4. Let the needful be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
- 5. Disposed of in above terms.

JULY 08, 2019
shalini

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No