

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4221-2019

Date of Decision: July 10, 2019

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Balwan Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.D.K.Mittal, DAG, Haryana
for the petitioners.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The revision petition has been filed against the order dated 13.11.2018 vide which execution petition of the respondents was allowed by condoning the delay as well as the order dated 31.05.2019 issuing warrant of attachment for having failed to satisfy the decree.

Argument of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the long delay should not have been condoned. However, there is no merit in the argument of learned counsel for the petitioner inasmuch as the Court has already declined the interest on the enhanced compensation and also on the solatium and other statutory benefits for the period of delay vide same order dated 13.11.2018.

In view of the same, order dated 31.05.2019 too cannot be set aside being a consequential order of the order dated 13.11.2018.

Dismissed.

July 10, 2019

meenuss

**(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
- 2. Whether reportable ?**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**