

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CRM-M-29509-2019

Date of Decision: 31.10.2019

LALIT

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr.Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.173 dated 04.05.2016, under Sections 302/34/120-B/476 IPC and 25 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of co-accused Sohanveer @ Sonu. All the material witnesses have not supported the case of prosecution. The petitioner is in custody for over three years and four months and similarly situated co-accused namely Sumit has been granted a regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M No.32639 of 2018 on 03.12.2018.

Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner. He upon instructions from SI Dharambir contends that 17, out of 40 prosecution witnesses have been examined. The petitioner is involved in several other cases.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over three years and four months and similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 31, 2019

anju

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No