

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(134)

CWP-18128-2019

Date of Decision: July 08, 2019

Madan Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that though the petitioner retired from service on 31.05.2016 from the post of Assistant Revenue Clerk but the retiral benefits were only released in the year 2018 and 2019, the details of which have been given in paragraph 13 of the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468,** an employee is entitled for interest, in case there is unjustified delay in the release of the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 27.04.2019 (Annexure P-1) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in

the legal notice dated 27.04.2019 (Annexure P-1), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 27.04.2019 (Annexure P-1) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 08, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No