HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CWP No.1093 of 2018
Date of Decision: 06.05.2019

****

Rajender & Ors. ... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors. ... Respondents

****

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

****

Present: Mr. SK Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG Haryana

****

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India the petitioners seek a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to grant the remaining compensation to the petitioners for the land acquired for construction of Baghot Minor on the ground that similarly situated persons have been given more amount in compliance to the orders of this Court passed in **CWP-1005-2013 Ganpat & Ors. vs. State of Haryana & Ors.** (P2) decided on 09.07.2013.

An affidavit has been filed by Raj Kumar District Revenue Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Narnaul who is present in Court in view of the previous order whereby bailable warrants were issued. It is stated that he joined on 05.03.2019 only and was not aware of the pendency of the case. It is further averred that disciplinary action is being initiated against the delinquent official for the lapse for which the deponent could not attend the court on the earlier date. He has also filed a detailed reply that the reference under Section 64 of the 2013 Act is now pending for consideration before the competent authority and petitioner No.1 was informed regarding the said fact on 22.05.2018.

V VISHAL
2019.05.08 10:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Counsel for the petitioners also does not dispute the fact that the matter has now been referred. Cost of ₹ 10000/- has also been brought by way of bank draft No.081608 dated 24.04.2019 drawn on Central Bank of India in favour of petitioner No.1 only since there were 20 petitioners.

Keeping in view the above, this petition has been rendered infructuous as the prayer for getting the matter referred to the competent authority has been fulfilled. The writ petition has become infructuous.

The original draft has been handed over to counsel for the petitioner.

Disposed of as infructuous.

06.05.2019 **(G.S. Sandhawalia)**
vvishal **Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? ***Yes/No***
2. Whether reportable? *Yes/No*

V VISHAL
2019.05.08 10:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document