

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,  
AT CHANDIGARH

203-4

**CWP No.17855 of 2014**

Decided on : 22.07.2019

K.K.Kohli

... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another

.. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present : Mr.J.P.Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Singh, Advocate  
for the respondents.

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

In the present writ petition, challenge is to the order by which the provisional pension which was being granted to the petitioner had been stopped.

Learned counsel for the respondents very vehemently states that as per information received from the respondents, the petitioner had filed an appeal against the order by which the pension was stopped and the said appeal has been accepted and the impugned order has been set aside and the case is again remanded to the Managing Director for passing a fresh appropriate order in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not have any information about filing/acceptance of appeal. He very fairly states that in case the statement as being made by the learned counsel for the respondents is true, then the present writ petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

He prays that he be given a liberty in case it is found that the relief as stated by the respondents has not been granted to the petitioner, petitioner can revive the present writ petition.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

[ HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI ]  
JUDGE

22.07.2019  
sd

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |