

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 28492 of 2019  
Date of Decision:-25.07.2019**

Tejinder singh @ Honey

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

**MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)**

Petitioner Tejinder Singh @ Honey has filed the present second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.48 dated 23.4.2019, under Section 61/78 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur. The petitioner is in custody since the day of arrest on 23.4.2019.

The FIR was registered on the basis of secret information that Tejinder Singh @ Honey is in the habit of selling countrymade liquor of Haryana by bringing the same from Haryana in his Scorpio car bearing registration No.PB-19-R-4734 and sell at Village Longowal. On the basis of this information Nakabandi was done and from the possession of Tejinder Singh @ Honey 300 bottles of countrymade liquor marka Heer Haryana and 240 bottles of countrymade liquor marka Malta Haryana were

recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the investigation of the case is complete and challan has been presented on 15.6.2019. He further submits that conclusion of the trial will take considerable time as no witness has been examined by the prosecution so far. According to him the petitioner is in judicial custody since 23.4.2019 and no further useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

On the other hand, the prayer is opposed by learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Ram Singh on the ground that the offence is serious in nature. However, he does not dispute the fact that the challan in the case was presented on 15.6.2019 and no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

Considering the nature of the offence and custody of the petitioner, this Court does not find any valid reason for further detention of the petitioner in judicial custody. Resultantly, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The petition is allowed.

July 25, 2019  
Vijay Asija

**( MANOJ BAJAJ )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No