

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12596-2017 (O & M)

Date of decision: 14.03.2019

Darshan Lal and ors. Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and anr. ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has referred to Para 03 of the short
affidavit dated 06.09.2017. Same reads as under:-

“3. That it is respectfully submitted that the Principals upto seniority no 290 were considered for the posting of District Education Officers. For the sake of Clarity facility of this Hon’ble Court, the seniority positions of petitioners in the P.E.S. Cadre is explained as under:-

Sr. No.	Petitioner Name	Seniority No.	Remarks
1.	Darshan Lal	226	Vocational Stream
2.	Dinesh Kumar	157	Vocational Stream
3.	Pritam Singh	531	Vocational Stream
4.	Amarjit Singh	578	Vocational Stream
5.	Rashpal Singh	231	Vocational Stream

Learned State counsel further submits that in view of the fact
that mistake has been rectified, present petition has been rendered
infructuous.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

March 14, 2019
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No