

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110+213

CM No.18043 of 2019 in/and
CWP No.17108 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 03.12.2019

Sukhvinder Kaur and others

....Petitioners

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.
 Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for respondent No.13/*applicant*.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM No.18043 of 2019

Application by applicant / respondent No.13 for permission to place on record documents (Annexure's A/6 to A/12), as well as for exemption from filing certified and true copies of the aforesaid documents is ***allowed***, subject to all just exceptions.

Annexures A/6 to A/12 are taken on record.

CWP No.17108 of 2015

[1] Learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that the writ petition can be disposed of in terms of the decision of a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.16975 of 2014 titled as '**Mrs. Rita Tandon** versus **State of Haryana and others**' decided on 29.07.2016, attached along with the writ petition as (Annexure A-5).

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he confines the relief claimed in the writ petition only to the claim of the petitioners to be allowed to continue in service on contractual basis as Extension Lecturers in colleges in their respective subjects, till regularly selected or NET qualified candidates are appointed. Details of the qualifications of the petitioners are contained in paragraph No.6 at page No.17 of the paper book.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondent No.13 contends that Joginder Singh, candidate at serial No.10 has wrongly been mentioned to be NET qualified although he is not NET qualified.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the same is due to an inadvertent mistake.

[5] Learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that those of the petitioner Extension Lecturers who are not NET qualified are open to be replaced by NET qualified Extension Lecturers and in case, NET qualified candidates are not available in response to the advertisements, inviting applications for Extension Lecturers to the extent of vacancies notified, then petitioners who do not possess NET certificates will be entitled to continue as Extension Lecturers provided their work, conduct and performance is satisfactory and further that the petitioners, who are not NET qualified but falling within the deficit/short fall of advertised vacancies will also not be replaced by similar arrangement till direct recruitment is made and regular candidates are available for joining the posts but in case NET qualified candidates are available to fill all vacancies required to be filled from amongst Extension Lecturers, then it would be open to the Government to engage the petitioners, who are NET qualified to the exclusion of others.

[6] Learned counsel contends that the writ petition be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to take action in terms of Para 2(1) of the decision in **Mrs. Rita Tandon's** case (*surpa*) and to continue such of the Extension Lecturers as are entitled to continue in terms of the aforementioned decision and to make fresh appointments *qua* the rest.

[7] In view of the agreement amongst learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to take action in terms of Para No.2(1) of the decision in **Mrs. Rita Tandon's** case (*supra*) within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

[8] This order shall also disposed of all the pending miscellaneous applications.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

December 03, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No