

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28401-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 10.07.2019

Sushil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the petition is to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.60 dated 04.04.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 279, 337, 323, 341, 307, 365, 364-A, 506 and 120-B IPC (Section 364-A, 506 and 120-B added later on and Section 365 deleted) and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Barauda, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he is sought to be implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused Ravinder which is a weak type of evidence.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Chand Singh, has submitted that let the petitioner join the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation as no specific role has been

attributed to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the stand of the State, petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

10.07.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No