

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28377-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 03.10.2019

Purshotam Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 08.07.2019, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is sought to be implicated on the basis of a disclosure statement made by the accused-Bajrang on 25.04.2019. He submits that in the first disclosure statement, the petitioner was not named but on the same day, another disclosure statement was recorded. He further submits that such evidence is a weak type of evidence.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana. A copy of the paper book be handed over to learned State counsel during course of the day.

Adjourned to 08.08.2019.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner

shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Bhupinder Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, cooperated and not required for further custodial interrogation.

Hence, order dated 08.07.2019 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

03.10.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No