

(112) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No.7355-CWP-2019 in/and
CWP No.12539 of 2017

Date of decision : 27.05.2019

Rahul Goswami and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

.... Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the applicants/petitioners.
Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

CM No.7355 of 2019 in/and
CWP No.12539 of 2017

[1] Application is for declaring the result of petitioner No.2 – Sachin Kumar. The same has been produced in sealed cover.

[2] On request of learned counsel for the petitioner and on no objection from learned counsel for the respondents, the writ petition is taken up for final hearing.

[3] Learned DAG has produced the result in sealed cover in Court today. Application for declaration of result is allowed. Sealed cover is opened and photocopy of the result is taken on record as Mark – 'A'. Although application was for declaration of result of petitioner No. 2 yet result of all the petitioners has been produced. A perusal thereof reveals the following marks having been secured by the petitioners:-

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION, PANCHKULA

Final Result Sheet [PST+KT+PMT+Interview) For the Post of Male Constable (General Duty)
Advt. No.08/2015 Category No.01

Court Case CWP No.12539/2017

Sr No	Roll No.	Candidate Name Father Name	Cate-gory D.O.B.	PST Marks 15	Written Marks 60	PMT Marks 15	Higher Qualifica-tion 2 Marks	NCC 3 Marks	Inter-view Marks 5	Total
1.	10011 94369	Rahul Goswami Rammurti Goswami	BCB 06/04/ 1995	10	33.60	11	1	0	4	59.60
2.	10012 16109	Sachin Kumar Mamoo Ram	BCB 02/04/ 1989	12	30.75	12	1	0	3	58.75
3.	10011 10542	Govind Balbir Singh	SC 07/01/ 1992	11	23.25	10	1	0	3	48.25

[5] Learned DAG states that petitioner Nos.1 and 2 who applied for the post of Male Constable in BCB Category secured 59.60 and 58.75 marks respectively as against 61.8 cut-off marks while petitioner No.3 who applied for said post in SC category secured 48.25 marks as against 54.5 cut off marks.

[6] In the light of the position as noted above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant writ petition and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn.

[7] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

[8] Result of the petitioners has been returned to the learned DAG.

27.05.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1.

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2.

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.