

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 16030 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 22.04.2019**

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 16934 OF 2016

Jagdish Lal

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 17400 OF 2016

Gurnam Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 17583 OF 2016

Chandul

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 20805 OF 2016

Jaswant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 21868 OF 2016

Mukhtiar Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 21879 OF 2016

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 21930 OF 2016

Mohinder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. J. S. Jaidka, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Roshan Lal Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent-PSPCL.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

1. This order of mine shall dispose of bunch of eight petitions, mentioned above, as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that a representation is pending with the respondents and in view of the judgment dated 29.11.2018 rendered by this Court in CWP No. 1013

of 2017 titled as "*Balvir Singh v. PSPCL and another*", which squarely covers the case of the petitioner(s), this petition can be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a decision in view of the judgment, *ibid*.

3. Learned counsel further points out that in certain cases, orders have been passed rejecting the representations filed by various petitioners, without considering the judgment, *ibid*.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a proper decision on the representation of the petitioner(s) in terms of the judgment, *ibid*. The cases of those petitioners, whose representations have already been rejected, shall also be re-considered afresh, in light of the same judgment.

5. Let the needful be done within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. All the writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

APRIL 22, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No