

CR No.4143 of 2019

Date of Decision: 22.7.2019

Manjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Patiala Urban Planning and Development Authority

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Anish Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Malvika Singh, Advocate, for the respondent(s).**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

This order shall dispose of four revision petitions bearing **CR-4143-2019; CR-4158-2019; CR-4308-2019 and CR-4343-2019**, as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

In pursuance to the orders dated 8.7.2019 and 16.7.2019, comments from the District & Sessions Judge, Patiala have been received.

It is stated by learned counsel for the respondent(s) that normally same procedure is followed, although, this Court fails to understand the requirement of furnishing the indemnity bonds/surety bonds, in cases, where the matter is settled uptill the Hon'ble Apex Court. There may be cases in which the parties are not even in position to furnish indemnity bonds/surety bonds. The only requirement possibly should be to verify the identity of the claimant, to whom the amount is to be released.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s), however, states that the petitioner(s) in any case does not have any problem in giving indemnity bonds.

In view of the same, the present revision petitions are disposed of with a direction to release the compensation to the petitioner(s) on his furnishing personal indemnity bonds and after due verification of their identity.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

22.7.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No