

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28511 of 2019

Date of decision: October 14, 2019

Jaswinder Singh alias Chinda

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.171 dated 12.08.2017, under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga.

On 08.07.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that although the petitioner was regularly appearing before learned trial Court, but on account of some miscommunication with the Counsel of learned trial Court, petitioner could not appear on 21.05.2019 and that led to the cancellation of his bail.

Notice of motion for 14.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to surrender before learned trial Court. On his doing so, he shall be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date

of hearing subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of interim order dated 08.07.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and has also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurpreet Singh.

In view of the above, order dated 08.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 14, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No